

Alfred Schurmann
Postfach 1332
76443 Durmersheim
Germany
Telephone: (+49) 160-99102424

Wuermersheimer-Str. 21
76448 Durmersheim

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 17 2006

Patent Application No.: 10/089,369 | Filing Date: 03/28/2002 |
Examiner: Peter Coughlan | Art Unit 2129

Date: February 17, 2006

U. S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks
Washington, D. C. 20231, U.S.A.
Fax: 001-571-273-8300

Patent application 10/089,369; response to your letter dated 02/01/2006

Dear Sir/Madam:

I am sorry that my reply to the Examination Report arose misunderstandings.

In response to your letter dated 02/01/2006, I supply the following information omitted in my reply filed on 12/29/05 and dated December 17, 2005:

1. I withdraw the amendments, dated March 19, 2002, to the claims and to section „7.1. Envy“ in the specification; the Examiner Mr. P. Coughlan did not consider them and they did not follow the guidelines set in section 714 in the MPEP.
2. The amendments to the claims and to the specification, dated November 07, 2005 and filed to USPTO on 12/29/05, are valid.
3. The amendment (filed on 12/29/05) to section „1. Introduction“ of the specification was made in order to get the layout for the specification as required in MPEP §608.01 (c, d, g). The whole section „1. Introduction“ is replaced by:
 - a) the following paragraphs:
 - Field of the Invention
 - Description of the related Art
 - 1. Brief Summary of the Invention
 - b) the text „DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION“.The section title „2. Representation of Desires and Patterns of Stimuli“ at the end of the amendment is the old one and it marks the place where the amendment ends.

Yours sincerely

A. Schurmann
Alfred Schurmann

P.S.: This letter I have sent today also by the German Post.